

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,985	07/26/2005	Tetsuji Zama	3103-110	1325
66458 7590 66479099 WATCHSTONE P+D, PLLC 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, JAISON P	
	SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2657		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENTS@W-PD-A.COM JanicePringle@system.foundationip.com wpdonline@yahoo.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/523 985 ZAMA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jaison P. Thomas 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 and 17-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,6-10,13,14,17,18,25-28 and 31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5,11,12,19-24,29,30 and 32-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsherson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/2008.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/523,985 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to amendments filed on 2/18/2009.

Claims 1-14 and 17-38 are pending. Claims 15 and 16 are cancelled. Claim 38 is new. Claims 1-3,6-10,13,14,17,18,25-28 and 31 are withdrawn. Claims 4,5,11,12,19-21,23,29,30,32,33,34 and 36 are amended.

- Claims 4,5,11,12,19-24,29,30 and 32-37 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 USC 103(a) as obvious over Madden et al. (US Patent 6249076).
- The objections over Claims 4,11,15,23,29,32 and 36 are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments/cancellation of the claims.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 2/18/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants allege that the prior art cited in the previous Office Action does not meet the limitations of the instant claims specifically concerning the process by which the conductive polymer is deformed i.e. via an electrochemical reduction/oxidation mechanism which is allegedly a by-product of the process by which the polymer is made. Applicants contend that said mechanism is not disclosed in the Madden reference.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicants. The Applicants have not clearly illustrated <u>how</u> the process by which the conductive polymer is made is linked to Art Unit: 1796

the reduction/oxidation deformation behavior but only have contended that it does. Further, the Examiner notes an IDS reference submitted on 11/10/2008 (ES 2062930) which discloses a laminate article of polyelectrolyte and conductive polymer very similar to the laminate disclosed in the Madden reference wherein the authors contend that the laminate deforms in shape due to "an electric current causes chemical oxidative or reductive reactions within the two polymeric films, giving rises to volume changes within the same." Thus the Examiner concludes, that the laminate article of Madden, absent a showing otherwise, would inherently possess or be reasonably expected to have a deformation mechanism similar to that claimed

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,985

Art Unit: 1796

 Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Madden et al. (US Patent 6249076).

The Examiner respectfully refers Applicants to the teachings of the Office Action dated 9/18/2008 for a summary of the Madden et al. reference and sections where the use of polypyrrole is taught.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1796

273-8300.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571)

272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. P. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /Mark Kopec/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796